

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/579,783	Applicant(s) MISTRY ET AL.	
	Examiner YEVEGENY VALENROD	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD.

(2) Paul N. Kokulis.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Attorney for the applicant approved an Examiners amendment to correct a typo in claim 1. Issues regarding possible Double patenting rejection over US 7,052,538 and 7,056,376 was also discussed. Mr. Kokulis has agreed to file terminal disclaimers with respect to the commonly assigned '538 and '376 patents.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

